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Christian Laing and Tamar Schlick

RNA molecules are important cellular components involved in many fundamental biological processes. Understanding the mechanisms behind their functions requires RNA tertiary structure knowledge. Although modeling approaches for the study of RNA structures and dynamics lag behind efforts in protein folding, much progress has been achieved in the past two years. Here, we review recent advances in RNA folding algorithms, RNA tertiary motif discovery, applications of graph theory approaches to RNA structure and function, and in silico generation of RNA sequence pools for aptamer design. Advances within each area can be combined to impact many problems in RNA structure and function.
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Introduction
Two of the most astonishing biological discoveries of the past decade have been the relatively small number of human genes and the fact that most of the human genome is transcribed and associated with regulatory RNAs. The latter has led to a paradigm shift in our understanding of biological regulation. Deciphering the functions of these regulatory RNAs presents a challenge for the new decade, with many biomedical and technological applications.

Complementing such functional interpretations are efforts to characterize the structures of RNAs over many functional classes spanning sizes from those associated with micro RNAs to large ribosomal systems. The greater structural diversity of RNAs compared to proteins — roughly 11 backbone torsional degrees of freedom for RNA building blocks compared to 2 for proteins — combined with the complex possible packing arrangements of RNA’s many secondary-structural elements — double-stranded helices and single-stranded loops, bulges, and hairpins — poses a challenge to computation. Moreover, the sensitivity of RNA structures to ions, solvent, metabolites, and other biomolecules has made RNA structure determination at atomic resolution more difficult than for proteins.

Yet, in recent years, the increasing recognition of RNA’s prominence in gene control has led to impressive advances, on both the experimental and the computational fronts, concerning RNA secondary and tertiary structure determination and motif analysis; synthetic and engineered RNA design; and applications of RNA to bioengineering, medicine, and nanotechnology [1–3]. See Figure 1 for a perspective of the increasing structure information for RNA as well as published papers in RNA modeling. In this review, we summarize progress in the past two years in the areas of computational approaches to RNA tertiary structure prediction, analysis of RNA tertiary motifs, graph theory approaches for RNA, and RNA design efforts that attempt to improve upon experimental in vitro selection for aptamer design. We also mention studies relevant to RNA structural comparison, since the notion of rmsd (root-mean-square-deviation) for RNA is insufficient at this stage where predictions are not accurate. For recent reviews on these topics, see [4,5,6,7], and for a general perspective on improvements in biomolecular modeling and simulation, see [8]. Note that there are many other areas of advances on RNA bioinformatics, for example secondary structure predictions [9], not covered here.

RNA tertiary structure prediction
Compared to protein folding, the RNA folding problem is at an early stage: current 3D RNA folding algorithms require manual manipulation or are generally limited to simple structures in terms of size and topology. However, many groups have now been tackling this problem by a variety of techniques as represented in programs like NAST [10*], BARNACLE [11*], FARFAR [12**], and others (see Figure 2). These methods differ in the input data, prediction accuracy, and nucleotide representation — from one pseudo-atom per nucleotide to all-atom detail. Our recent review [5**] examined the performance of 3D structure prediction algorithms, namely iFoldRNA [13], FARNA [14], NAST [10*], and MC-SYM [15], for an RNA dataset of 43 structures of various lengths and motifs. We found that most predictions have large rmsd values from the crystal structure (e.g., rmsd >6 Å). Although the prediction accuracy improves with added
knowledge from the 2D structure and 3D contacts, the lack of appropriate functions that favor compact RNA-like structure and the failure to detect long-range contacts remain clear challenges. Below we elaborate upon the most recent approaches.

The nucleic acid simulation tool or NAST developed by Jonikas et al. [10] is a molecular dynamic simulation tool consisting of a knowledge-based statistical potential function applied to a coarse-grained model with resolution of one bead per nucleotide residue. NAST requires secondary structure information and, if available, accepts tertiary contacts to direct the folding. NAST’s greatest strength is that it allows modeling of large RNA molecules (e.g., 160 nt), a limitation imposed by most programs. Overall, when only secondary structure information is considered, accurate prediction is limited to RNA structures with simple topologies such as hairpins with less than 34 nt (8 Å average rmsd) [5]. However, when input information from tertiary contacts is additionally provided, NAST can dramatically improve prediction accuracy.

The web-based program iFoldRNA by the Dokholyan group [13] predicts RNA structures using a coarse-grained model of three beads per nucleotide through molecular dynamics (MD) sampling (by the replica exchange, or REMD method). iFoldRNA does not require secondary structure information and can rapidly predict structures for small RNAs (<50 nt). However, as the RNA size increases, difficulties arise regarding long-range tertiary contacts (23 Å average rmsd) [5]. The Dokholyan and Weeks groups recently improved their method by incorporating information regarding secondary and tertiary contacts based on experimental SHAPE chemistry to alleviate these limitations [16]. Prediction accuracy of 4 Å rmsd for a 75 nt tRNA-Asp was reported as the best performance. This improvement is noteworthy, because a typical ab initio prediction with iFoldRNA for a tRNA gives an accuracy of about 21 Å rmsd [5].

Other coarse-grained models such as recently presented by Froslsen et al. [11] as an extension of their protein approach are one way to address conformational sampling limitations encountered in fragment assembly algorithms such as FARNA [14] and MC-SYM [15], which generate 3D structure models from small-residue fragments. Froslsen et al. argue that the discrete nature of the fragment assembly method leads to sampling bottlenecks. Their alternative, a probabilistic model called BARNACLE, to RNA conformational space represents RNA conformational flexibility using circular analog to Gaussian distributions (von Mises distributions) and multi-dimensional sampling. The continuity of the local conformational space allows for less biased sampling. Using secondary structure information, BARNACLE generates reasonable RNA-like structures (<10 Å rmsd) for small RNA molecules (<50 nt). However, most RNA structures with elaborate topologies such as junctions and long-range contacts are longer than 50 nt and cannot be predicted because of an increase in complexity of the probabilistic model.

Another coarse-grained approach by the Gutell/Ren groups [17] takes a more standard mesoscale (5 pseudo-atoms per nt) modeling approach in which simplified models are sampled by MD/simulated annealing...
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Examples of recent RNA 3D folding computer programs. The different algorithms are organized by their input data (ab initio or sequence, secondary structure, 3D contacts), as well as the level of model detail (from one bead coarse-grained models to all-atom approaches).
with the guidance of atomistic, physics-based potential functions, here with optimized non-bonded parameters. Results for small RNAs (<30 nt) indicate great promise (3.36 Å average rmsd). However, as in the case of BAR-NACLE, this method is limited to RNA molecules with relatively simple topologies.

Das et al. introduced a 2010 update to Rosetta’s Fragment Assembly of RNAs (FARNA [14]) termed FARFAR that adds a refinement phase for atomic-level interactions [12**]. Relying on the framework found successful for proteins using atomistic models and empirical potential functions assembled from conformational preferences represented in structural databases, FARFAR is only applicable to small RNA (6–20 nt), and has variable accuracy, from excellent, less than 1 Å rmsd, to more than 10 Å (for 4-way junctions) when measured for cluster centers; results improve by up to 5 Å when best clusters of refined conformations are used instead for final assessment. Standard sampling difficulties and convergence failures reflect both algorithmic and force-field limitations.

An alternative approach to automated programs is ASSEMBLE, a manual-input program by Jossinet et al. [18**] that, like RNA2D3D (Martinez et al. [19]), uses secondary or tertiary structure information from homologous RNAs to build a first-order approximation RNA 3D model. Using an intuitive graphical interface, ASSEMBLE allows the manual insertion of base pairs and 3D motifs, as well as torsion angle modifications, rotations, and translations of modular elements. ASSEMBLE permits the input of electron density maps to improve the RNA model. Although these user-input tools are practical, they rely on manual application of expert knowledge. Unfortunately, there are only a few of these experts.

In general, for RNA sequences of medium to large sizes (50–130 nt), even the best prediction methods lead to large rmsd values (20 Å on average), considered poor for protein predictions. Alternatives to rmsd measurements for RNAs have thus been suggested for RNA structural comparisons. Parisien et al. recently introduced an interaction network fidelity measure that combines rmsd with counts of predicted base pairing and base-stacking rates [20]. Similarly, Hajdin et al. [21] proposed assessing the global fold of an RNA at the nucleotide resolution by measuring a more “relative” rmsd value. For example, for a de novo prediction of 100 nt RNA, the rmsd should be within 25 Å of the accepted structure to reach a P-value of P ≤ 0.001 (the P-value is a measure of statistical significance). Such alternative comparison approaches should help distinguish successful models with RNA-like features from less successful predictions.

Overall, the accuracy of each program varies from structure to structure. For RNA sequences of small size (<20 nt), FARFAR can produce the best prediction model. If structural data obtained from experimental methods such as SHAPE chemistry is available, NAST and iFoldRNA can dramatically improve their prediction accuracy. For medium sized RNAs, MC-SYM can produce reasonable models, but accuracy is limited by the difficulty of predicting long-range contacts. Because the programs described above are recent, many improvements can be anticipated in the near future.

**Tertiary motif discovery**

Structural and comparative studies have suggested that RNA structure is largely modular composed of repetitive building blocks or motifs. These patterns appear at the primary, secondary, and tertiary structure levels. For instance, the secondary structure motifs such as hairpins, junctions, internal loops, and stems define hydrogen-bonding patterns. At the tertiary level, RNA tertiary (3D) motifs are recurrent structural elements subject to multiple RNA–RNA interactions constraints as described by Nasalean et al. [22]. RNA 3D motifs play an important role in RNA folding and biochemical functions, and determining 3D motifs provides a better understanding of the principles of organization of complex RNA structures, as well as serves as foundation for applications to synthetic biology and nanodesign [1,23,24].

The RNA–RNA interactions that define 3D motifs include base pairing, base-stacking, and base–backbone interactions [25]. In terms of base pairing patterns, RNAs possess remarkable versatility: base pair interactions can be classified into 12 geometric families in terms of pairs of interacting edges, which can be Watson and Crick, Hoogsteen, Sugar, and glycoside bond orientation cis and trans, as classified by Leontis et al. [26]. RNA base pairs have been recently analyzed in detail by Stombaugh et al. [27**] in terms of their isosteric properties, that is, similar base pair interactions that can be substituted by compensatory mutations (e.g., a GC base pair can be substituted by an AU base pair), and a revised base pair catalog is now available [27**]. Furthermore, base–backbone interactions are also common, and a recent classification model has been proposed by Zirbel et al. [28*] based on phylogenetically conserved base–phosphate interactions. This study also determined 10 family types of base–phosphate interactions based on their hydrogen bond interaction patterns. Recent works by the Leontis [28*], the Schlick [29*], and James [30] groups have identified new RNA backbone interaction motifs involving both the sugar ribose and the phosphate group with the bases. These interactions have different functional roles including RNA–protein recognition sites and stabilization of the global RNA structure via helix-packing interactions. Such combinations of RNA–RNA interactions make up recognized 3D motifs, such as the A-minor, ribose zipper, and loop-loop receptor interactions (Figure 3a).
Figure 3

(a) Annotated diagram of the TPP riboswitch (PDB: 2GDI) shows several correlated motifs working in a cooperative way to stabilize RNA’s 3D conformation. These key motifs can be observed often in many other RNA structures. (b) TPP riboswitch folding as a function of sequence elongation reveals: (1) either one or two conformational clusters of all suboptimal states for each sequence length. (2) At 145 nt, one cluster is apparent where the folding funnel (base pair difference against free energy plotted for the ensemble for all predicted suboptimal structures) shows a classic simple folding funnel landscape. (3) Near the full sequence length (190 nt), two clusters correspond to the two conformations. See [48] for details.
A new way of thinking about RNA 3D motifs — as elements of higher-order motifs (or supermotifs) — has also emerged from analysis of solved RNA structures by Xin et al. [31] and others [32] (Figure 3a). Often, 3D motifs appear together, working cooperatively. For instance, the newly adenosine wedge motif by Gagnon and Steinberg [33] combines the along-groove packing, A-minor, and hook-turn motifs. Similarly, cooperation between A-minor and coaxial stacking motifs occurs in most large RNAs, particularly in junctions as described by the Westhof [34] and Schlick groups [35*].

The guiding and stabilizing roles that RNA motifs serve [32] have also emerged from studies of RNA junction topologies. Analyses by the Westhof [34] and Schlick [35*] groups have described three and nine major families for 3-way and 4-way junctions, respectively. Higher-order junctions were also described as composed of these basic architecture motifs [29*]. Helices within junctions tend to arrange in highly ordered patterns (parallel and perpendicular), and conformations are stabilized using common 3D motifs like coaxial stacking, loop-helix interaction, and helix-packing interaction. Bailor et al. [36**] further showed that secondary structure features such as loop size encode topological constraints on the 3D helical orientations of internal loops. This suggests that long-range contacts serve to stabilize specific helical conformations associated with the native structure within the topologically allowed ensemble.

As more structural information becomes available, a more complete repertoire of RNA motifs will help us better appreciate intricate interaction properties of RNA, which in turn will translate to a better understanding of RNA function.

Applications of graph theory to RNA
Graph theory is a field of mathematics widely used for analyzing various types of relationships (or networks), including chemical structures, genetic and biochemical objects. Graph theory has also proven valuable for analyzing RNA secondary structures, as pioneered by Waterman in 1978 and extended by many others, as well as for analyzing tertiary structures of RNAs. Graph representations of RNA can help address many fundamental biological questions concerning the organization, classification, and design of RNA motifs.

To represent RNA secondary structures, graph objects used include tree graphs, dual graphs, and secondary structure graphs (see Figure 4); both dual and secondary structure graphs can represent RNA motifs with pseudoknots. The rules that define dual and tree graphs involve a translation of each secondary structure element (stems, bulges, junctions, and loops) into a vertex or an edge (Figure 4). The edge/vertex specification is reversed for dual graphs with respect to tree graphs. Secondary structure graphs define instead each nucleotide as a vertex and the backbone and base pairs as edges. In addition, a finer atom graph repres-
Graph theory tools are also natural for comparing RNA structures to find existing RNA motifs within large RNAs based on graph isomorphisms [44]. This idea was applied to identify topological similarities among existing RNA classes and to define motifs of RNA within larger RNA topologies for major RNA classes (e.g., tRNA, tmRNA, hepatitis delta virus RNA, 5S, 16S, 23S rRNAs).

Inspired by RAG, Koessler et al. [51] implemented a predictive model to filter RNA-like structures from non-RNA-like structures that result from multiple solutions during RNA secondary structure prediction. The method uses tree graphs and computes graph theoretical values as input for a neural network to determine the best or most likely secondary structure candidates among all possible outcomes. Another web-based tool termed GraPPLE [52] applies secondary graph representations to identify and classify non-coding RNAs from sequences using graph properties that capture structural features of functional RNAs.

Graph theory has been also useful for RNA structure prediction. For instance, Gillespie et al. [53] considered RNA backbones as polygonal curves on the 3D triangular lattices to represent RNA structures and simulated the folding of RNA structures, including pseudoknots, by considering only the 3D conformations that can realize pseudoknot structures in the 3D space given the base pair restrictions.

In a different application, Fulle and Gohlke [54,55] use the more detailed atom graph representation to analyze the flexibility of RNA structures by constraint counting. This is possible because sufficiently strong forces, which are included in the graph representation by edges, reflect rigidity and flexibility. Fulle and Gohlke applied constraint counting on this type of graph to reveal the static properties of the ribosomal exit tunnel and its functional role in cotranslational peptide folding [56]. Their method identifies large parts of the tunnel neighboring regions as rigid, with clusters of flexible tunnel components in the peptidyl transferase center, tunnel entrance and exit region [56]. Analyses of the rigidity of RNA structures, at both the local and the global levels, can therefore help interpret biological functions of RNAs.

Graph theory is expected to continue to be a useful tool for representing, analyzing, and designing RNAs; such applications also provide exciting research opportunities in biology for mathematical scientists.

**In silico generation of RNA sequence pools for aptamer design**

The versatility of RNA structures and functions has also stimulated systematic efforts in the design of RNAs with tailored functions for a variety of medical and technological applications. In vitro selection technology has
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been widely used for discovering new synthetic RNAs of desired functions, such as high affinity and selectivity to a range of targets, including antibiotics, proteins, and even whole cells [57]. Essentially, this experimental procedure termed SELEX (Systematic Evolution of Ligands of Exponential Enrichment) [57,58] involves generation and screening of large (around $10^{15}$) sequence pools for binding and catalysis followed by amplification by PCR. However, practice quickly showed that RNA random pools are not structurally diverse as might be expected; this was also demonstrated computationally by generating random pools, “folding” them in 2D, and analyzing/grouping their folds by graph motifs [47]. Indeed, it was shown that simple topologies are favored, complex motifs are rare, and motif distribution depends on the sequence length (e.g., a 60-nt pool has a different distribution than a 100-nt pool).

Novel approaches have thus been developed to expand the sampling of sequence space and thereby enhance motif diversity and complexity.

Random pool generation and analysis lends itself naturally to computation. For example, analytical frameworks for estimating motif probabilities were described by the Cedergren [59,60], and Schlick [45] groups. Motif scanning programs like RNAMotif [61] have been crucial to such efforts. The large size of the random pool, however, has been a challenge until recently, where several in silico approaches have begun to approach the experimental pool size (e.g., order $10^{14}$ sequences of size 60–100 nt).

A simple mathematical approach using $4 \times 4$ “nucleotide transition probability” matrices (see Figure 5a) to generate large pools of desired composition has been developed [40], along with a web server tool, RAGPOOLS (http://rubin2.biomath.nyu.edu) [42] that helps design structured pools for optimal yield of specific motifs. Such matrices specify the mixing ratios of nucleotides in the nucleotide vials (Figure 5a) as applied to an initial sequence; different linear combinations of various matrices can be used to generate different motif distributions. That is, instead of uniform ratios in the nucleotide vials, different ratios can be introduced via design strategies (based on covariance mutations like AU to CG or conversion of AU to CG base pairs) produce different sequence pools (Figure 5b) [42], for example aimed at specific motifs. Motif yield can be enhanced as desired using more types of pools (basis matrices).

This matrix approach combined with supercomputing resources (IBM Blue Gene) made it possible to generate, screen, and filter, according to 2D structure similarity and flanking sequence analyses, very large pools of nucleotides (up to $10^{14}$) [41]. Such computational and theoretical yields agree for simple RNA motifs. For real aptamer targets, the in silico procedure overestimates the yields found experimentally, as expected, because experimental yields represent lower bounds and the screening does not yet involve 3D structural aspects. This targeted design approach has also shown promise for enhancing the selection of ligase enzymes [41].

Luo et al. [62] recently reported a complementary, directed evolution-like approach for junction applications: “random filtering” to enrich 5-way junctions by repeatedly mutating junction motifs, and “genetic filtering” to produce pools with given motif distribution by a similar evolutionary approach. Similar in spirit is the patterned libraries approach by Ruff et al. [63] where a specific pattern like alternating purines and pyrimidines is generated by inserting random bases between single-stranded regions. It was shown to improve upon random pools in terms of yield and binding affinities. However, this approach requires many iterations of motif enrichment.

Chushak and Stone [64] combine many of the above ideas in a multi-step large-pool generation approach for selection of RNA aptamers that uses 2D pattern searching, 3D structure generation, and screening for target binding using docking programs. They can thus reduce an initial set of order $10^{11}$–$10^{14}$ candidates by nine orders of magnitude to propose RNA sequences which can be used for RNA microarray applications for aptamer design (e.g., [65]), an emerging alternative to in vitro selection technology.

Other recent interesting mathematical works that analyze aspects of in vitro selection include analyses of the dependence of aptamer affinity on magnesium ions and aptamer sequence patterns. The former study by Carothers et al. [66] reveals that tighter-binding aptamers are more robust, that is, less dependent on magnesium. The latter study by the Knight group [67] demonstrated that natural and artificial RNAs share similar sequence features, including a purine preference and GC bias.

Current challenges in the in silico approach to aptamer discovery and design remain efficient implementations for very large pool sizes, 3D structural characterization of the products to complement the 2D motif analysis, and estimating binding/catalysis properties. The pool size issue is straightforward to address with increasing computing speed and the ready availability of coupled networks for parallel computations. Advances in the prediction of tertiary RNA structure require more conceptual breakthroughs, to address the global positioning of RNA's secondary structure elements. For the evaluation of binding affinities and aptamer selectivity, standard molecular protocols for computing binding free energies and further assessment by MD simulations have been used, but their reliance on approximate tertiary structures, free energy uncertainties, and limited sampling in MD [68] are sources of inaccuracies. With improvements, structural, flexibility and binding affinities could be systematically measured, as demonstrated.
by Anderson and Mecozzi [69] in an interesting application that sought to define the minimal RNA length required for selective binding to target aptamers by repeated rounds of sequence adjustments, MD simulations, and free energy calculations.

The ideas of directed evolution, sequence mutation, and tailoring presented above lend naturally to more global concepts of design involving the notion of energy landscapes. For proteins, statistical-mechanics frameworks based on density of states, pioneered by Frauenfelder, Wolynes, Dill, Onuchic, Thirumalai and others (e.g., [70–72]), have been invaluable in interpreting various protein kinetics and thermodynamic observations such as conformational sub-states, folding mechanisms, and function.

Recently, Pitt and Ferre-D’Amare [73] introduced the notion of empirical RNA fitness landscapes by a combination of experiment and computation to analyze the optimization of typical SELEX products in terms of RNA structure prediction, analysis, and design.

(a) In silico approach to pool design. RNA sequence pool generation can be simulated using the nucleotide transition probability matrix, which specifies nucleotide mixtures in the nucleotide vials (or mutation rates for all nucleotide bases). The matrix composition can be defined to be a random matrix, corresponding to experiments, or to mimic specific biological situations, as shown in (b). The resulting sequences can be “folded” into 2D structures using existing algorithms and analyzed further to screen and filter the candidates against a target motif. The non-random matrices shown in (b) form a basis of 22 probability matrices that generate a wide range of RNA motifs in silico [40], as shown in (c), where motifs yields are organized by RAG graph labels, and the yields of random matrices are shown in red.
sequence/function relationships for small RNAs (up to 13 nt). Such genotype/phenotype mapping is of general interest and practical importance.

Similar in spirit, though approached quite differently, are other computational approaches for riboswitch design. Riboswitches are RNAs which modulate gene expression by ligand-induced conformational changes [74,75]. However, the way in which their intrinsic sequences dictate such alternative folding pathways remains unclear. Shu et al. [76] present a web tool that attempts to engineer temperature-sensitive allosteric RNAs through analysis of melting curves for designed sequences; the different minima on the melting curve correspond to two riboswitch conformations. Examining sequence length instead of temperature, Quarta et al. [48] approach riboswitch design and analysis from a different point of view: identifying intrinsic sequence windows that favor one conformation over another as the enzyme elongates to full length, thereby mimicking the natural transcriptional process. They computed energy landscapes corresponding to secondary structures of RNA for the TPP riboswitch\(^1\) as a function of sequence length from 120 to 190 nt (Figure 3b): for each riboswitch length, the energy landscape is defined by the spread of accessible conformations at a range of energies: energy of that state vs. a distance measure between that conformation and all other conformations. Intriguingly, it was found that, depending on the sequence length, or time of transcription, the energy landscape may be populated by one or two configurational clusters, representing the opposing biological functions (Figure 3b). This bimodal landscape suggests two low-energy states separated by an energy barrier; the metabolite acts to guide the RNA into one conformation by affecting the height of the energy barrier [48\(^*\)]. This thermodynamic switch, now found common to other riboswitches (Quarta and Schlick, unpublished), suggests a new avenue for riboswitch design by combining, like the frameworks above, sequence mutation/evolution with energy landscape analysis.

Clearly, the many approaches described above can be used in concert with experimental technology to guide the procedures in a more targeted fashion and focused manner, to generate specific and/or complex RNA motifs. Laserson et al. have also shown that many of these designed synthetic RNAs have natural analog [45], and this opens new avenues for discovery via genome analysis.

**Conclusions**

In recent years many computer algorithms have been reported in RNA modeling. Methodologies range from coarse-grained spatial models to finer all-atom simulations, and the protocols can handle input data from none to secondary and partial tertiary contacts. It is encouraging that many of these advances have been achieved with relatively simple (coarse-grained) models, which combine energy or statistical potentials, fragment assembly, and continuous conformational sampling techniques.

Although all methods have strengths and weakness as recently reviewed [5], tertiary structures of small RNAs (<20 nt) are better achieved with all-atom knowledge-based approaches (e.g., FARFAR) while those of large RNAs (>50 nt) are better approached using coarse graining approaches (e.g., MC-SYM, NAST). Still, further developments and refinements of the existing models are needed. Specifically, for larger RNAs (>50 nt), programs are limited in predicting long-range contacts and helical arrangements. New strategies continue to emerge as RNA becomes more attractive to researchers. Indeed, the new computer game EteRNA (http://eterna.cmu.edu) uses ideas similar to Foldit to attract participants worldwide to develop new ways to design and fold RNA molecules.

Structural knowledge from observed RNA native structures in the form of 3D motifs is further needed for both automated and manual modeling approaches. In fact, the increasing availability of high resolution large RNA structures has made possible the identification and classification of RNA secondary and tertiary structure motifs, at different levels of detail. In particular, recent evidence supports unique combinations of 3D motifs that form larger architectural units of RNA, such as the adenosine wedge motif [33]. Such knowledge enhances RNA structure prediction, modeling, and design. Although we are still far from having a complete motif library, and also far from predicting the effect of 3D motifs in the long-range contacts that stabilize RNA 3D structures, graph theory approaches, as described here, can aid in the classification and prediction of RNA motifs.

Indeed, RNA’s modularity has been natural for the application of graph theory tools to estimate the size of RNA’s structural/functional repertoire [39], detect structural and functional similarity among existing RNAs [44], classify and catalog RNA motifs [38,39], predict sequences that map into new RNA motifs [39], identify RNA motifs of antibiotic-binding aptamers (found synthetically) in genomes [45,46], analyze the structural diversity of random pools used for RNA in vitro selection [47], enhance in vitro selection for aptamer design [41\(^*\)], analyze RNA’s structural rigidity [54,55,56\(^*\)], and predict RNA 3D structures including pseudoknots [53].

In addition, new in silico approaches to aptamer discovery and design, as described here, also offer novel ways for...
efficient design. Because ultimate design depends on fine details of the tertiary structures, such designs will improve with better methods for tertiary structure algorithms. The combination of graph theory, 3D motif analysis, tertiary structure prediction, and general modeling and simulation improvements [8], will continue to advance RNA bioinformatics and RNA biology and chemistry.
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